26

27

28

**Defendants** 

9

6

13

11

14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22 23

24 25

26

27 28

## DECLARATION OF STEVEN MARK ROSENBERG

I, Steven Mark Rosenberg, declare and state as follows:

- 1. I am the Plaintiff in the Motion to Alter or Amend Judgment, that was filed in pursuance to Rule 59(e) of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure. Unless otherwise indicated, the facts set forth herein are personally known by me to be true, and if called as a witness I could and would competently testify thereto.
- 2. I submit this declaration in support of my Rule 59(e) FRCP, Motion to Alter or Amend the judgment that was entered into on 05/14/2018, AS Docket Number 58, allowing the Motion for judgment on pleadings by the Defendants therein.
- 3. I am a graduate of University of Southern California (USC) with a Master of Science Degree (1989) and a second degree from USC earning a MRED (Master of Real Estate Development) obtained in 2006. This educational obtainment, has I am finding providing me, with transferable writing and resource skills useful to this protracted litigation. In addition, for approximately six months, I was employed a Real Estate Title Examiner Associate in the City of Los Angeles, Department of Engineering, Real Estate Division, on or around the period of 1993.
- 4. I hereby declare that, the entire foreclosure proceedings that have been initiated against the estate property are non-judicial foreclosure proceedings and the observation of the Court to the contrary that the foreclosure proceedings are one which belong to judicial foreclosure proceedings is a clerical error while entering the judgment dated 05/14/2018 and carrying over to the Amended Judgement of June 9, 2018 as Docket Number 56. (Indicated in Pacer as: Order Amended Judgement following Defendants Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings, signed on 6/7/18).
- 5. I declare that FRCP Rule 17(a)(1)(B) lays down an exception for the general rule that an action shall be prosecuted in the name of the real party in interest. The particular rule empowers an 'administrator' of the property to

take action for the property though not being a beneficiary. In my case against the Defendants herein, as stated in my motion to alter or amend judgement, I occupy the position of an administrator of the Estate property. Hence though not being a beneficiary/real party in interest I am competent to initiate the adversary proceeding irrespective of my personal capacity. Accordingly, as per FRCP Rule 17, I am not personally liable for any charges on the estate property if any, as the said Motion is filed only in the capacity of an administrator and not beneficiary. Thus, the Defendants have absolutely no right in Personam over myself or the estate property.

- 6. I hereby declare that the doctrine of equitable tolling as observed by the Supreme Court in *Holmberg v. Armbrecht*, 347 U.S 392, 397 (1946) is applicable in present instance and the equitable doctrine is applicable to every federal statute of limitation. Therefore as such the present adversary proceeding initiated by undersigned, before this Honorable Court, is a continuation of the probate code proceedings and falls within the spectrum of the doctrine of equitable tolling. The Probate Action was found to be dismissed <u>under Operation of Law</u>, i.e., California Code of Civil Procedure § 583.310.
- 7. I hereby declare that the widely accepted principle of 'fraud vitiates everything', is applicable to my case against the defendants herein. The Defendants cannot enforce any right, if any created upon the Estate Property as per the Deed of Trust document and subsequent trailing recorded filings have been created by fraud and complicit forgery by the Defendants.
- 8. I hereby declare that, even if the aspect of fraud is not considered, the Defendants could not assert legitimately based on the falsified chain of title documentation, their "contested" rights in the estate property as bona fide secured creditors and therefore, they are not entitled to the proceeds that arise out of the estate property. In light of the developed fact pattern, it will be unjust enrichment for the opposing side.
- 9. I hereby declare that I have made six (6) documented attempts to set a hearing date with regard to the present. Motion to Alter or Amend Judgment. One of the latest due diligence attempts by myself with regard to

seeking the obtaining of a hearing date for the motion to amend or alter judgment was a letter sent via Overnight Federal Express to the Court Clerk on August 15, 2018 and delivered on August 16, 2018 with Proof of signing available for inspection.

I declare under the penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this 5th day of September 2018 at Les Augeles, California

Steven mark Rosenberg

## PROOF OF SERVICE OF DOCUMENT

I am over the age of 18 and not a party to this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding. My business address is: 106 1/2 Judge John Aiso St. Los Angeles, CA 90012

A true and correct copy of the foregoing document entitled (specify):	
PLAINTIFF'S DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S MOT	ION TO ALTER OR AMEND JUDGMENT
will be served or was served (a) on the judge in chambers in the form the manner stated below:	n and manner required by LBR 5005-2(d); and (b) in
1. TO BE SERVED BY THE COURT VIA NOTICE OF ELECTRON Orders and LBR, the foregoing document will be served by the court	via NEF and hyperlink to the document. On (date) by case or adversary proceeding and determined that
	Service information continued on attached page
2. SERVED BY UNITED STATES MAIL: On (date)09/05/2018, I served the following persons and/or case or adversary proceeding by placing a true and correct copy the first class, postage prepaid, and addressed as follows. Listing the judge will be completed no later than 24 hours after the document is	reof in a sealed envelope in the United States mail, dge here constitutes a declaration that mailing to the
	Service information continued on attached page
3. <u>SERVED BY PERSONAL DELIVERY, OVERNIGHT MAIL, FACtor each person or entity served</u> ): Pursuant to F.R.Civ.P. 5 and/or conthe following persons and/or entities by personal delivery, overnight such service method), by facsimile transmission and/or email as followed that personal delivery on, or overnight mail to, the judge <u>will be comp</u> filed.	ontrolling LBR, on (date), I served mail service, or (for those who consented in writing to ows. Listing the judge here constitutes a declaration
	Continued on attached name
	Service information continued on attached page
I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States	s that the foregoing is true and correct.
09/05/2018 CARRIE FELICITAS	Sinatura
Date Printed Name	Signature \

## ATTACHED SERVICE LIST

2	
3	

4

5

MERS (Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc.)

Attn: T. Robert Finlay, Partner

Cc: Nicole Dunn, Esq.

4665 MacArthur Court, Suite 200

Newport Beach, CA 92660

6

8

9

Ocwen Loan Servicing, Inc.

7 c/o T. Robert Finlay, Partner

Cc: Nicole Dunn, Esq.

4665 MacArthur Court, Suite 200

Newport Beach, CA 92660

10

Amy L. Goldman (TR)

633 W. 5th Street, Suite 4000 11

Los Angeles, CA 90071

213-250-1800 12

Trustee

13

United States Trustee (SV) 14

915 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 1850

Los Angeles, CA 90017 15

213-894-6811

16 U.S. Trustee

17

Judge Victoria S. Kaufman

U.S. Bankruptcy Court- Central District 18

(San Fernando Valley)

21041 Burbank Blvd.

Woodland Hills, CA 91367-6603

21

19

20

Deutsche Bank National Trust in Alliance Bancorp Trust 2007 OA-1

22 c/o Robert Garrett of Law Firm of Garrett & Tully

225 S. Lake, Suite 1400

Pasadena, CA 91101

24

23

Alliance Bancorp, Inc.

Oak Brook, IL 60523

25 815 Commerce Dr.

26

27

28

Page 2